File Copy



08/781,752

Application No. Applicant(s)

Stice et al.

Interview Summary Examiner

Deborah Crouch

Group Art Unit 1632



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):	
(1) Deborah Crouch (3)	
(2) Robin Teskin (4)	
Date of Interview Mar 4, 1999	
Type: 🛛 Telephonic 🗌 Personal (copy is given to 🗌 applicant 🗌 applicant's representati	ve).
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: X Yes No. If yes, brief description: Fax of proposed claims.	
Agreement was reached. was not reached.	
Claim(s) discussed: 80-102	
Identification of prior art discussed: None	
clearer in setting forth the invention.	
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendents which would rende is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)	er the claims anowable
1. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interv	
Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN R LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVII Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIV FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.	EN ONE MONTH
Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a comeach of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirer Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview is also checked.	nents of the last
Fxaminer Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.	DEBORAH CROUCH PRIMARY EXAMINEI ART UNIT 1632